

## Question 4

### Housing:

What should our highest priority be for the types of homes we plan for? Against each of the following categories indicate importance for you, and say why (e.g. downsizing, accommodation for younger people).

- a) 1 or 2 bedroom flats
- b) 1 or 2 bedroom houses
- c) Bungalows
- d) 2/3 bedroom houses
- e) 4+ bedroom houses
- f) Specialist housing for older retired people

[Written responses to the 'why' question are recorded with abbreviations of the respective tickbox 'importance' answers. VI = Very important; I = Important; NI = Not important; DK = Don't know.]

### Question 4a (1 or 2 bedroom flats)

- I: 1<sup>st</sup> time buyers
- I: 1<sup>st</sup> time buyers
- VI: Property for young 1<sup>st</sup> time buyers. Affordable properties
- VI: Very important if young people want to stay in the village
- NI: More likely to be more than 2 storeys high and not in keeping with property in village at present
- NI: Not in keeping with the village
- NI: Maybe only for social housing, which is not particularly needed in this area anyway
- NI: No to expansion
- I: Keeping costs down so more can get on housing ladder
- I: Younger couples
- NI: Start for young people to get on property ladder (I'd rather not flats in area as it will ruin the landscape)
- NI: Flats are not in keeping with the village look and feel and offer no material benefit over houses in a rural context
- NI: Flats are an unsuitable housing type
- VI: Young people
- NI: The area we live in is a very desirable one and therefore commands high prices, too much for young people trying to get on the mortgage ladder
  
- I Accommodation for younger people
- NI Accommodation for young people and retired people
- I For first time buyers.
- I These could be most affordable for first time buyers
- NI Not a likely requirement.
- NI Perhaps a small development to cater for people with a certain budget.
- I Gives an opportunity for younger people and older generations to live in area.
- I Young people
- NI Extremely to rent, overpriced and not good enough for children!
- NI The locality has no flats presently. It would be hard to see how that would fit well with the current housing stock and overall look of the area.
- VI For older folk to downsize to and for starter homes
- NI Not in keeping with current settlements
  
- NI This type of housing does not fit in with the remainder of our village.
- NI too many flats.
- NI Too many flats being crammed into small places creating an overload to the areas.

- I Availability of accommodation for first time buyers or rental. Suitable accommodation for single and older people.
- I To enable young people to remain locally upon leaving their parents home.
- NI 1/2 bedroom flats rarely attract tenants who stay consequently they put little into the community.
- NI Not in keeping with current buildings.
- NA Depends on the style and number proposed. Cheap builds do not give longevity and are not in keeping with the village.
- NI not needed. Green space more important.
- VI Affordable housing especially for young people.
- NI This area attracts 'family' who can are looking for garden for their children to play in. #younger people are not normally looking to move to a village flat.
- I Good to have younger people in the village.
- VI First time buyers & social housing.
- NI I do not agree with any further housing.
- NI I do not agree with any further housing.
- I Starter homes for younger people.
- I Starter homes for younger people.
  
- VI Lifestyles of young or retired
- NI Flats would spoil the skyline, unless they are kept low – if kept low they would be a good idea as you could fit more housing in a smaller area
- NI Multi-storey buildings not in keeping. If flats were in buildings no more than 2 storeys then ok
- I Low cost for first time buyers
- I Our area attracts young families and retired people. No tall blocks of flats. Need to fit into existing environment
- NI In a rural setting it generally attracts families – retirement settlers. Flats generally for younger/career individuals requiring benefits and facilities we do not have
- NI Blocks of flats affect landscape
- NI Until transport facilities to Wolverton/MK improve, this type of housing will not be attractive to people who are not mobile. Otherwise in upper village only
- I Lack of transport and facilities in 'lower' village but starter properties important for young people
- I For young people
- I Important to have a mixture of housing including affordable housing
- I Easily made available for first time buyers
  
- NI - We don't need them.
- I – Important for young people to get a foot on the housing ladder.
- NI – Flats generally not part of villages.
- I – So younger people may be able to get on the property ladder more easily.
- VI – Accommodation for younger local people. To enable local people to downsize without having to leave the area.
- VI – Many of the people requiring accommodation are young and single and in a first job / recently left school. Cheaper to maintain.
- NI – Not a "flats" area.
- NI – Flats are ugly looking, dirty and always attract druggies. Bungalows are better safer cleaner easier to manage and look better than flats.
- NI – I feel this is a family village and having 1-bedroom flats will change the dynamics of this.
- NI – The building of flats in this area would not suit the character of the villages. There are plenty of flats and a large planned development in Wolverton close to the train station.
- NI - Simply not required – if you want to live in a flat, Wolverton is a stone's throw away.
  
- NI – unsuitable for the area
- NI – does not match existing community
- NI – too many vehicles
- NI – these would be out of character with the village & would be more appropriate to Wolverton or MK
- NI – inappropriate property for a village location

- NI – modern properties this size are – in my experience very small & have little / no garden space for residents or parking. Haversham has few 3 storey houses, flats grouped together would not be aesthetically pleasing to our village built environment
- NI – not in keeping with current provision
- NI – we have lived in flats & hate the intrusions
- NI – have hated flat life myself
- VI – young couples / singles – older couples
- NI – most people like an outside door opening on to the street
- I – more affordable for younger people
  
- NI – Does not suit the aesthetic of the village
- NI – affordable housing, would not encourage flats
- I – Downsizing, first time buyers
- NI – not in character of area
- VI – there is a need for this type of housing for existing younger residents looking to purchase their first property
- I – downsizing for older people, but also access for young people to be able to get on to the property ladder
- NI – flats are not a common / readily recognised housing type associated with a rural community
- NI – not useful or suitable in our parish. Leads to homes in blocks, not appropriate
- I – single people, downsizing, first time buyers etc.
- NI – keep the flats for CMK areas
- I – more 2 bedroom flats, reduce 1 bedroom for single persons. Help younger generation get housing
- Not completed - more 2 bedroom flats, reduce 1 bedroom for single persons. Help younger generation get housing
- NI – why would young people want to live in the countryside?
  
- NI – Haversham is not a ‘flat’ area and would look out of place and not in keeping with the village of detached/semi
- NI – This is a family area so needs more family houses that are affordable
- VI – Housing is very expensive so new housing should be more easily affordable. Possibly more HA provision helps diversification (?I assume Housing Association – Kieron)
- I – Good for singles and new home owners
- I – Need to keep a mix of housing if you want to keep the village to thrive
- NI – Flats will ruin the look of the village
- NI – Not in keeping with the village. The area is an out of town village and not suited to flats
- NI – Because they don’t fit in with the housing types in Haversham
- NI – Older demographic currently plus lacks services for young, single or new families. Better locations closer to centre.
- NI – Young people prefer to live in an urban environment e.g CMK
- NI – There are flats everywhere in MK
- I – Supply options for younger population
- VI – Encourage young people to stay or join community maintain a diverse age range
- VI – Many elderly people that live in the village want to downsize but there is nowhere for them to go
- I – For young families to grow
  
- I To have mixed population for community
- I Affordability
- NI not appropriate for the rural setting and family nature of the village
- VI Needed as starter homes for downsizing by older residents who do not wish to leave the parish. Provided they are in small units and not as multi storey square blocks.
- NI not in keeping
- I affordable for local people / community
- I Downsizing. Accommodation for younger people
- DK I don’t know the demand / need for housing of different types. The mix of housing should reflect the mix of demand.

- I Not everyone can manage gardens.
  - I to provide for local people and children
  - NI Not at all in keeping with existing neighbourhood / housing stock.
  - NI Flats would not be right in the area.
  - NI Would negatively affect the community spirit (would become commuter accommodation).
  - NI Our area is made up of low rise houses. Blocks of flats would not suit the area and will increase the population too much.
- 
- I. Younger people from village who cannot afford a house but may want to stay in the village
  - I. For those trying to get on the housing ladder.
  - I. More affordable accommodation for younger people attracting different communities to the village
  - I. Affordability
  - I. Social Mix
  - I. Flats may not fit area well
  - NI. Most families prefer 2-3 bed houses
  - NI. Our priority should be to provide housing for families to join and become involved in the community promoting care for the environment and rural living (houses to include garden space).
  - NI. Flats attract transient residents and the opportunity for investors to monopolise the local market.
  - NI. 1<sup>st</sup> time buyers or landlords will not commit to a community
  - VI. Keeping young people in the village
  - I. As the costs for larger properties are too dear for starter homes.
  - NI. Not in keeping with the village
  - VI. To provide homes for Social Housing
  - VI. So old people can stall in their community.
- 
- VI. Accommodation for young adults, single parents, older people
  - NI. Not family oriented
  - Y. For younger or downsizing for older
  - NI Rural area, in my opinion flats are more urban
  - I. To keep families in close proximity – avoid long journeys
  - NI. Will ruin the look of the village
  - I Allow people to get on the housing ladder
  - I. Two bed properties are the highest demand. Flats are good for developers and bad for freeholders, leaseholders, and tenants due to increased service charges, anti social behaviour etc., also high churn due to lack of perceived value.
  - NI. Not sure flats suit the type of housing that could sit easily with existing architecture, and environment. Height limit.
  - I. Single people or couples
  - VI Need places for those just starting out in life and those who have lived here for a long time but are no longer able to keep up a largeish house
  - I. Affordability
  - I. 1<sup>st</sup> time buyers or single people
- 
- NI Existing developments in surrounding areas cater for this requirement of housing.
  - NI. Concern that the development would spoil the country feel of the village
  - NI. Possibly maisonettes, but flats are not consistent with the character of any part of our villages
  - NI (quotes his answer 2: What does the village want to be. Attractive to young and modern, old and traditional or family. With this comes the sort of property and look).
  - I Encourage young people
  - NI. There are plenty in MK area
  - NI This is high density housing not in character to the village that won't have enough space for parking and transport. Plenty available in the hub/CMK with far better local amenities
  - I. Useful accommodation for both young and old taking less land as long as it not obtrusive
  - NI. Not popular, but may become important for care homes
  - NI. Don't like em
  - NI Would not want to see flats – density of population; increase traffic, lack of facilities

- I bought here to live in a village
- I Downsizing as you get older
- VI These housing types are suitable for younger members of the community and, if suitably built and managed, for our elderly members as well.
- I For people to be able to get on to the property ladder, or for people who want to downsize.
- NI Not in keeping with the current aesthetics of the village.
- NA None
- I It's the most affordable accommodation. People in all walks of life need that at some point.
- DK I would not like to live in a flat and I'm not sure how many really do like it.
- DK Good way of getting extra housing, but not for me.
- NI 2-3 bed houses are better for families.
- I For singles or starters.
- I For people to downsize, if necessary.
- NI Against village expansion
- VI Affordable houses both for younger and older people to bring new life into the village
- NI Flats can incur high service charges, not suitable for disabled/elderly people
- NI I feel it is important to keep the current community feel of the village and this is best served by encouraging families rather than single people
- NI Because families are what makes a community and we want to keep that, that's why people move to Haversham
- I We should provide across the range if at all. To represent and cater for all of society.
- NI Would not be in character with surroundings
- NI There are plenty of single council house tenants in occupation of 3 bedroom houses
- NI Of no importance to us
- I If built I character with the area would be important for first time owners or rental
- VI For young people so they can gain independence from their parents. The maisonettes at the top of Wolverton Road would be an ideal model, rather than big blocks of flats
- NI Changes the landscape of Haversham village and estate
- NI Facilities are usually desirable and Haversham doesn't have a "town" culture so it's unlikely to attract single or professional couples
- NI High rise flats in a village?
- NI I don't think Haversham suits high density/flat dwellings. We have space and there is plenty of flat provision elsewhere in MK.
- NI Flats not in keeping with village characteristics
- VI Affordable and relatively cheap – insufficient numbers for demand
- NI Don't think flats would be right in a village setting
- NI Unsightly blots on the landscape, will increase parking demands.
- I More affordable housing for youngsters.
- I For local young people.
- I For older and young people
- NI We have only recently upsized our house so will not need a small flat/house for a very long time.
- VI Downsizing for the older to allow them to stay local. For youngsters that they also stay local.
- NI More suitable for urban dwelling.
- I Very few already – so helps operate a balanced community. Probably only dwellings likely to be truly affordable for the young.
- NI Not suitable for village.
- NI This is an out of town community without adequate services e.g. buses, shops etc.
- NI Haversham generally is a family community.
- VI For professional people or single people.
- NI Flats in Haversham would not fit in with the style of houses.
- NI Doesn't fit with the village architecture/social demographic.
- VI Downsizing for older people. Affordable for single/younger people/keyworkers. Not everyone wants a garden to maintain.

- NI doesn't fit with the village
  - I Lower cost housing
  - NI not in keeping style of village
  - I it would be nice to have properties that younger people could afford to either stay in the village or newcomers to experience village life.
  - VI there are none available for young people at the moment as far as I can see.
  - VI to keep the youngsters in the village + for the elderly which would free up their home for another family
  - I both for downsizing and young couples
  - VI space for younger people
  - NI How many stories high will the accommodation be?
  - NI Not interested in any development
  - NI will become a commuter town with London rail links on doorstep. Haversham will eventually lose community feel.
  - I The youngsters from Haversham and Little Linford need affordable accommodation as there isn't any.
  - NI Developers in MK can't build flats of sufficient quality.
  - NI Creates more traffic and parking issues.
- 
- VI Older age who have lived and grown up here
  - VI There are family sized homes already occupied by singles/couples
  - I Start youngsters on the housing ladder
  - NI Flats with stairs not suitable for older generation
  - NI Not really suitable for village environment; likely to be rented by low income families, better suited to city environment
  - I Accommodation for young people
  - NI Because flats usually end up as undesirable areas
  - VI Allow young people to stay in the community
  - I A range of houses for all to afford
  - DK New homes should include a percentage of 'affordable' homes. Unsure what this element should be. I feel unsure about flats. Houses better.
  - I This type of property should be cheaper/affordable and enable single people or two younger people to purchase
  - NI Think in this environment we should aim for smaller houses – better for the buyer (freehold) and saves any high rise development
  - I For single residents.
  - I For young couples.
  - NI Not in keeping with a village style of housing.
  - NI Not in keeping with a village style of housing.
  - NI Flats become an eyesore and are only used for renting.
  - I Affordability for first time buyers.
  - NI Suggest we need to attract working professionals/people to the area.
  - NI Suggest we need to attract working professionals/people to the area.
  - NI Perceived non need.
  - NI No apparent demand in the parish.
  - NI Flats only give developers greater profit from a smaller area.
  - NI Eyesores, a lot of cars parked.
  - NI The younger generation would live in a flat and I don't think Haversham would appeal to the younger generation.
  - NI These properties should be in towns with walking access to Drs etc if they are for 1<sup>st</sup> buyers or retired. Ability to do away with the car.
  - I (J17) Smaller housing units for the retired population; free up their larger houses for families , and benefit the young as being affordable BUT for the older members of the community it can be isolating because lack of transport and shopping facilities that they can easily access.
  - NI There is a wide range of housing in the village which is also flanked by extensive affordable housing in neighbouring villages.
  - I To provide low cost housing for young people to allow them to live locally.
  - VI Downsizing. More affordable for younger or single people, and those less well paid.

- I It is important to have a diverse community of people to sustain villages. It may also release larger, existing homes when downsizing.
- NI Less important, as not suitable for families who will want to live here.
- NI No flats! Houses if any should fit in with the current village.
- VI To help younger people get on to the housing ladder, plus elderly who want to downsize.
- VI We should cater for all people be they single, young and the elderly, single parents and obviously flats are far less in price than houses.
- NI Flats are traditionally occupied by younger and single people. This can be accommodated in MK and Wolverton where there are plenty of amenities for young people.
- NI Less important when possible to have houses.
- NI No like type properties in the area.
- NI Surely only a few would be needed in this area. For the growth of the existing population as opposed to producing individual communities.
- NI People who buy or rent this type of accommodation tend to be relatively transient and care less therefore about their surroundings.

### Question 4b (1 or 2 bedroom houses)

- I: Young families
  - I: Young families
  - VI: Property for young 1<sup>st</sup> time buyers. Affordable properties
  - VI: Very important if young people want to stay in the village
  - DK: A mixture of b, c, d, e & f would include a variety of properties and a variety of residences which would lead to a diverse population more able to integrate into village
  - NI: Not in keeping with the village
  - NI: Maybe only for social housing, which is not particularly needed in this area anyway (are 1 bedroom houses even built?!)
  - NI: No to expansion
  - VI: Keeping costs down so more can get on housing ladder
  - VI: Starter homes
  - I: Older and smaller families
  - I: Start for young people to get on property ladder
  - VI: Suitable for downsizing or for younger people – current lack of this type of housing
  - VI: Downsizing for older people and starter homes for young people. Current housing stock is heavy on larger properties
  - VI: Young families
  - NI: Most families need at least 3 bedrooms so small houses would be of little use
- 
- I Avoid high density housing. Detached and semi-detached but not too close together.
  - I For older people –retirement homes or for young families
  - I To same extent as ??? ( a? affordable for first time buyers)
  - NI Not a likely requirement.
  - I For younger people to start their life on the housing ladder.
  - I Encourages young families
  - I Young families
  - VI Lack of these available now
  - I 2 bedroom for families with one child or single parents
  - I To cater for young/single person families on lower incomes.
  - VI For older people to downsize to and for starter homes
  - I Good starter homes and allowing people to get on housing ladder.
- 
- I To help the younger members of Haversham to remain in Haversham
  - I Villagers who know can stay.
  - I Villagers who need to downsize can stay in the area they know.
  - VI Helping young people get on the property ladder.
  - VI Very few currently available. Needed by single people and small families.
  - I To help first time buyers.
  - I Starter homes for young and elderly.

- I Depends on the style and number proposed. Cheap builds do not give longevity and are not in keeping with the village.
- NI Not needed.
- VI Affordable housing especially for young people.
- I 2 bed house families Haversham has a strong family feel as such these will suit.
- I For first time buyers and small families; hopefully affordable.
- I First time buyers & social housing.
- I If you need to downsize.
- NI I do not agree with any further housing.
- NI I do not agree with any further housing.
- I Starter homes for young families with garden area.
- I Starter homes for young families with garden area.
  
- VI Affordable costs and maintenance for small families
- I It is hard for young people to move into the village or those already here with their parents to stay in the village if the houses are all too big/expensive
- I If balanced with other housing types. Most likely to be affordable.
- I Low cost for first time buyers
- I Affordable starter homes
- I Starter homes for young families
- I Young couples encouraged to buy. Improve community facilities
- I Two bed cottages (pref)
- VI Housing for new couples etc important but should be where transport (limited!) is available. So upper village as it is within walking/cycling/bus (limited) to rail and MK. Lower village no bus, narrow road, uphill and further from station
- I For young people/families
- I Important to have a mixture of housing including affordable housing
- I Single people need housing. Help for first time buyers
- I Houses over flats to preserve the feel of the area
  
- NI - We don't need them.
- I – Building needs to be kept within the concept of a village location.
- VI – Downsizing without having to leave the area. Starter homes for younger people.
- I – To provide for young families stepping onto the housing ladder.
- I – For elderly people or those downsizing.
- VI – To keep in line with the rest of the village and estate.
- NI – Same as 4a – lots of 1 and 2 bedroom houses would not suit the character of the villages.
- NI - As above (refers to Wolverton). The area requires more family homes.
  
- I – would be nice to see more established young families
- VI – to encourage families & to allow people living on their own to downsize
- I – downsizing, younger generations ability to purchase
- VI - there is a need for this type of housing for existing younger residents looking to purchase their first property
- I – maybe starter homes
- VI – could allow for elderly to downsize & release larger homes & be more affordable for first time buyers to get on property ladder. Also often associated with rural dwellings in the traditional sense
- NI – does this then lead to social housing? Beechfield close is great & works well. A higher proportion could lead to a decline in our village status
- VI - single people, downsizing, first time buyers etc.
- I – for first time buyers
- I - more 2 bedroom flats, reduce 1 bedroom for single persons. Help younger generation get housing
- I - more 2 bedroom flats, reduce 1 bedroom for single persons. Help younger generation get housing (same 2<sup>nd</sup> comment BC)
  
- I – provide variety
- VI – to enable people to have a house that is suitable. Most houses seem to be 3 bed



- NI – does not match existing community
  - NI – good for new people to the housing ladder
  - I – affordability and in keeping with the village aesthetic
  - I – starter homes with garden
  - NI – many estates in Bucks & Beds have properties this size, initially they look fine but very quickly fall into decline with rubbish, cars & unkempt housing becoming a norm. these areas soon become ghetto-ised. This would be a travesty for our village
  - I – good starter homes
  - I – people care about it & the surroundings
  - I – a house seems to be more of a home to care for
  - VI – young families / older couples
  - I – good as starter homes for downsizing
  - NI – I think that there is more than enough housing in MK area without building more
  - I – more affordable for younger people
- 
- VI – First time buyer
  - I – This is a second priority
  - NI – Too small for families
  - I – Need to keep a mix of housing if you want to keep the village to thrive
  - I – For younger village generation to move out of home
  - I – Two bed houses are ideal for first time buyers
  - I – Because houses of that number will support young people getting on the ladder
  - I – Young families
  - NI – Young people prefer to live in an urban environment e.g. CMK
  - I – Starter homes
  - I – Encourage young people to stay or join community maintain a diverse age range
  - VI- Allows families to stay close together
  - I – For young families
- 
- I To allow local families and people to live locally
  - I Affordability to encourage young families / people
  - I Encourages young families
  - VI Houses too expensive for first time buyers and young
  - VI Needed as starter homes for downsizing by older residents who do not wish to leave the parish. Provided they are in small units and not as multi storey square blocks.
  - NI there are sufficient
  - VI chance for younger people to buy their own house. Downsizing for older people
  - VI Starter homes essential.
  - I to provide for local people and children
  - VI Provides affordable housing for local younger people whilst still able to integrate with existing housing if done right.
  - NI Would negatively affect the community spirit (would become commuter accommodation).
  - I These are good starter homes and enable a younger generation to integrate into a community .
- 
- I. Younger people from village who would like to settle here perhaps to start a family ensuring facilities i.e. school are used by village residents.
  - I. Starter homes
  - I. More affordable accommodation for younger people attracting different communities to the village
  - I. May attract older families looking to downsize.
  - I. Affordability
  - I. Social Mix
  - VI. Need for lower price (rent and buy) housing to help those most in need
  - NI. Most families prefer 2-3 bed houses
  - I. For younger people to be allowed to stay in their own villages
  - NI. Priority to 3 or 4 bedroom homes to promote families joining the community
  - I. Potentially young couples wanting to be part of the community

- VI. Keeping young people in the village
- VI. So youngsters can afford them as a starter on the property ladder
- I. First time buyers, and downsizing
- VI. To provide affordable homes.
- I.A lot of people are single dwellers in a 3 bedroom house. Many would like to downsize- this would free up a number of larger homes.
- VI. So old people can stall in their community.
  
- VI. Accommodation for young adults, single parents, older people
- NI. Not family oriented
- Y. For younger or downsizing for older
- VI. Affordability to both young and those downsizing
- I. To keep families in close proximity – avoid long journeys
- I. For younger people who want to leave home but still stay in the village
- I Allow people to get on the housing ladder
- VI. Houses are more adaptable and allow people the space they need and the flexibility for families to grow.
- VI For younger people
- VI. E.g. small enclave of small cottage style for youngsters to help youngsters afford to move out or even older people wanting to downsize. Needs to be done as small enclaves (cul de sac) create a limit
- I. Single people, couples
- VI. We need places for those from the village just starting out in life and for those who have lived here a long time but can no longer keep up a large house
- I. Starter homes for young families
- I. 1<sup>st</sup> time buyers or single people
  
- NI Existing developments in surrounding areas cater for this requirement of housing.
- I. Would give the opportunity for young people to get on property ladder or for others to downsize, whilst living in the village.
- I. For young first time buyers
- I. Will likely bring young couples and first time buyers/starter families to the villages to participate in community and perpetuate the life of the village
- NI (quotes his answer 2: What does the village want to be. Attractive to young and modern, old and traditional or family. With this comes the sort of property and look).
- I. Encourage younger families
- VI. Attract young people/families or those downsizing
- I. May fit into the village character
- I. There is a need for smaller accommodation for the young and the single
- For young beginning life and families
- I bought here to live in a village and paid a solicitor for searches against such impacts
  
- VI Currently Haversham has a very limited stock of smaller houses - the bulk being 3 and 4 bedroom, making cost a key factor for young families not being able to move into the village.
- I For young people of for people to downsize.
- NI Popular with commuters that don't really add to the community.
- NI Keep Haversham as it is.
- NA None
- I For the elderly who no longer need lots of bedrooms.
- VI First home or downsizing.
- I Affordability for younger people.
- I Starter homes and downsizing (If affordable. Many 2 beds are not much if any cheaper than a 3 bed.)
- VI To allow the older generation to downsize when children have fled the nest to free up family homes. To get younger generation on property ladder.
- NI 2-3 bed houses are better for families.
- I For singles or starters.
- I For people to downsize, if necessary.

- I Diversity
- NI Against village expansion
- I More suitable for downsizing and accommodation across all age groups and abilities
- I I feel it is important to keep the current community feel of the village and this is best served by encouraging families rather than single people
- NI Because families are what makes a community and we want to keep that, that's why people move to Haversham
- I We should provide across the range if at all. To represent and cater for all of society.
- I For first time buyers
- DA Single council house tenants in occupation of 3 bedroom houses should be forced to share their rented premises with a homeless person
- VI Downsizing or first time buyers
- VI Important for small families, first time buyers or for older people to downsize
- VI In particular 2 bedroom houses for young couples wanting or just starting a family
- I Starter homes are important
- NI Facilities are usually desirable and Haversham doesn't have a "town" culture so it's unlikely to attract single or professional couples
- I Important to have starter homes and accommodation options for people downsizing
- VI Starter homes/homes for downsizing/affordable housing important
- VI Affordable and relatively cheap – insufficient numbers for demand
- VI We need a range of housing for all ages
  
- VI Affordable to younger people, people wishing to downsize, better for elderly.
- I More affordable housing for young families.
- VI To keep families together in the community.
- NI We have only recently upsized our house so will not need a small flat/house for a very long time.
- VI Downsizing for the older to allow them to stay local. For youngsters that they also stay local – not everybody wants to live in a flat.
- I Provides housing for single/couple occupation both young and old.
- VI Very few already – so helps operate a balanced community. Probably only dwellings likely to be truly affordable for the young.
- I First time or downsizing.
- NI This is an out of town community without adequate services e.g. buses, shops etc. You would need a large development to provide enough money to improve the services and facilities. How would these be sustained over time? Local shops have closed I the grid squares of MK over the last 20 years and they are all surrounded by housing developments.
- I For younger people.
- I Attract younger families.
- VI Professional people or young families.
- I This would help younger people to be able to buy houses to start off on the climb of the ladder but of course the price would have to be right.
- I More suitable for families.
- VI Downsizing. Affordable for single younger people/keyworkers.
  
- NI more commuters
- I lower cost housing
- I More accessible housing
- I as above (it would be nice to have properties that younger people could afford to either stay in the village or newcomers to experience village life). I would rather see houses over flats though.
- VI none available at the moment, houses are very high (prices) for young people
- VI housing for those on the first step of the property ladder is needed within reach, too many 4-5 bed "executive" houses around – not enough affordable housing for starting out.
- VI as above (to keep the youngsters in the village + for the elderly which would free up their home for another family)
- I for young families
- VI space for younger people

- VI housing for younger people is very important and not easy to achieve.
  - NI Not interested in any development
  - NI Haversham is a rural village filled with families young and old. It needs to be filled with families who are proud of their village and put into it what they get back!
  - NI If there was a choice between building flats or 1/2 bedroom houses I believe flats are more of a necessity to the people of the parish.
  - VI Family accommodation is most important.
  - I First time buyers.
- 
- I To bringing a real well-balanced community
  - VI There are family sized homes already occupied by singles/couples
  - I Bring in young families to keep Haversham and the school going
  - I Great for young local families
  - I Families looking for first house in village environment
  - I Good for young families
  - VI For first time buyers and young families
  - VI If we must accept the necessity of housing development then let it be for younger people/first time buyers who are usually overlooked
  - VI Allow young people to stay in the community
  - I A range of houses for all to afford
  - I 'Affordable' and 'starter' homes would be best – at least a reasonable element. The children of existing residents of Haversham should be considered so that they can afford to buy in the area. This is likely to affect the upper part of Haversham (the estate) more than the old village
  - VI To enable younger families/people to purchase – they should be more affordable. Also, retired people could downsize from larger property in the area
  - VI Needed for first time buyers, single occupiers, downsizers
- 
- I for young families.
  - VI The majority of Haversham's housing stock is 3-bedroomed so to have some smaller more affordable houses would be a good way to enable younger members of the village to stay.
  - VI The majority of Haversham's housing stock is 3-bedroomed so to have some smaller more affordable houses would be a good way to enable younger members of the village to stay.
  - I affordability for first time buyers.
  - NI Suggest we need to attract working professionals/people to the area.
  - NI Suggest we need to attract working professionals/people to the area.
  - I For single people and older people downsizing
  - NI Two bed waste of time.
  - NI No apparent demand in the parish.
  - VI Suitable for individuals and couples.
  - I For young families.
  - I Lots of single people, or couples, financially established who want a garden, but who can access Drs etc themselves easily.
  - VI Downsizing. More affordable for younger or single people, and those less well paid.
  - I It is important to have a diverse community of people to sustain villages. It may also release larger, existing homes when downsizing.
  - NI Less important than family homes.
  - DK No flats! Houses if any should fit in with the current village.
  - VI To help younger people get on to the housing ladder, plus elderly who want to downsize.
  - VI A new housing development should allow for all different types of accommodation to meet the requirements of the people.
  - NI 1/2 bed houses are traditionally occupied by younger and single people. This can be accommodated in MK and Wolverton where there are plenty of amenities for young people.
  - I Not many in village now.
  - I Affordable housing for the younger and more manageable properties for the older community.
  - I Some needed for 1st time buyers.
  - I You need a mix. See 4d,e,f [had no written responses]
  - NI People who buy or rent this type of accommodation tend to be relatively transient and care less therefore about their surroundings.

## Question 4c (Bungalows)

- I: Downsizing
- I: Elderly downsizing
- VI: Enable elderly to move and stay in their own homes for longer
- VI: Because of age and they should be affordable
- VI: For elderly residents
- DK: A mixture of b, c, d, e & f would include a variety of properties and a variety of residences which would lead to a diverse population more able to integrate into village
- NI: Not in keeping with the village
- DK: Is there a local need!?
- NI: No to expansion
- VI: For elderly to stay in their community
- VI: Shortage locally. Appeal to elderly/disabled
- I: Older couples
- VI: Good for disabled and elderly
- I: Suitable for older generation – but caution required/covenants to ensure not just converted into 2-storey houses
- VI: Downsizing for older people. Having lived in H&LL for many years retirees are reluctant to move away but have no suitable places to move to. Restrict ability for future house conversion though!
- I: People generally living longer but with less mobility
- I: For ageing population
  
- I Nice to have a mix
- NI Inefficient land use.
- I Retirement homes for disabled
- I Future downsizing?
- VI For anybody who wants to stay in Haversham who cannot, for some reason, want two storeys and would prefer somewhere on the same level.
- VI Encourage older people to move out of larger properties but be able to stay
- I Elderly
- I Lack of these available
- VI We need a flux of bungalows. You get old and sell your house → you move into a bungalow due to being frail → increases independence and lessens the NHS cost
- I To allow for older people /disabled to continue to live in their community.
- VI For older folks
- I Suitable for a wide variety of people and ages!
  
- VI No stairs, less maintenance and running costs
- NI We have enough
- I So that older people can move out of 3 bed semis and still stay in the village
- VI A few bungalows for older people who will struggle with stairs
- VI Lack of bungalows in the village
- I To allow people to stay in the village when they need to downsize
- I Some bungalows for residents wishing to downsize but remain in the area. This would free up existing housing for families
- I Catering for the ageing population and those who require one level accommodation
- NI Occupancy of bungalows is normally the older generation – there are no facilities to support this age group i.e. transport/shops/GPs
- NI Mainly for elderly so easy access to transport. Upper village perhaps. We have some bungalows
- I For elderly
- I Mixture
- NI Can take up more land than other housing
- I Elderly residents benefit from this type of housing
  
- I To assist our ageing villagers to remain here and still be important

- NI take up too much land.
- NI Enough of this accommodation in surrounding areas.
- I Housing needs of an ageing village population who wish to stay here. To attract all age groups to the village.
- I To assist local residents downsizing.
- NI Bungalows are a poor use of land.
- I Elderly should be made to feel welcome in the village.
- VI Suitable for older people as well as families.
- I Potential housing for older members of the community.
- VI Retirement home. Old people like villages.
- I For the elderly in our community.
- I For older people.
- I For older people.
- NI I do not agree with any further housing.
- NI I do not agree with any further housing.
- NI Already in existence.
- NI Already in existence.
  
- I – For disabled or elderly people.
- I – Older people may like to downsize to a bungalow (keep your independence).
- VI – Enables residents to downsize without moving away – which then frees up larger houses.
- VI – For elderly people and those with mobility problems.
- NI – A need to build more houses on smaller piece of land. Lack of building spaces. Not affordable for most.
- VI – For the elderly, those downsizing or disabled.
- VI – Cleaner looking, safer, easy for old people, better maintained and not as high.
- I – For the older people in our village who do not want to leave.
- I – There is a high proportion of people above 60/65 years in Haversham. When the time comes they may need alternative accommodation.
- I – We need more homes suitable for the elderly or disabled.
- I - We clearly have a higher proportion of elderly residents and it's important they have the option to stay in the community.
  
- NI – Not suitable for families
- VI – older generations ability to stay in the area
- I – good for elderly residents
- NI – but could be included as part of senior citizen housing scheme
- VI – low impact on the landscape
- I – there is a lack of suitable housing for the elderly
- VI – older people need to free up their semis for families to come to the village
- NI – expensive use of land available – maybe suitable for elderly but this niche may be better served by specialist housing
- NI – there is a bungalow for sale in the bottom village which has been for sale for some time. Generally bungalows are favoured by older members of the community. Why would elderly people want to live in Haversham when there is no shop, no bus, no doctors & very little support
- I – for those with limited mobility
- VI – there is a UK shortage & we need them for the elderly generation
- VI – aging population in the future
- VI – aging population in the future
- VI – many established community members are having to move away to find bungalows when their houses become too impractical. This has happened to my parents who had lived in Haversham for 37 years, leaving behind friends & a sense of belonging was very stressful & distressing for them
  
- I – there is a lack of suitable housing for the elderly
- I – provide variety
- I – not everyone is able to access stairs

- I – largely missing in Haversham, would allow current residents opportunity to move while staying in the area
- I – people like to stay in the village so would allow elderly to stay in the community
- I – this would be functional given the demographic of the village
- I – aging local community
- VI – those wishing to downsize, elderly wishing to stay in village, villagers of any age less able & need to live in single storey accommodation
- I – suitable for a variety of people
- I – good for older people
- I – useful for older people
- NI – poor use of land
- I – for people with mobility problems
  
- VI – For elderly, disabled, downsizing
- VI – For retired people
- I – We need to cater for our elderly and disabled
- NI – Better to create provision for a community run retirement home. Every village has one in France.
- VI – Not enough in MK for older people to downsize to
- I – Need a mix of housing
- I – Older generation to down size but stay in the village
- VI – Lots of current residents need to downsize and without this type of property people have to move out of the village
- I – Good for the elderly in the village. More inclusive.
- NI – Older requirements
- I – Downsizing and elderly
- I – For the elderly
- I – Elderly people and younger population
- VI – Diversity, age, disability but mixed into general housing not ghettos
- VI – many elderly occupants wanting to stay in Haversham and downsize but can't as there is no choice to.
  
- I – for elderly
- NI – people don't seem to want them.
- VI – encourages independent living for elderly or less mobile.
- I – People in village wanting to downsize but stay in the village.
- I – Suitable for older residents moving from house but staying in our parish
- VI – Important for residents with restricted mobility, avoiding major alterations to a resident's existing two or three storey house.
- I – variety of housing to meet needs of a variety of people
- I – lack of well-designed bungalows in the area
- VI – Would allow older members of the community to downsize freeing up their housing for other young families who have out grown smaller accommodation.
- I – Helps elderly in community
- I - Benefits vulnerable adults within the community maintain self-dependency.
- I – Great for the elderly/infirm.
  
- I. Frees up current housing for other families who may wish to buy or bring 'new blood' to village
- I. To allow some elder residents to move into them from three bed homes they occur solely. However this should not be an overriding priority
- NI. These houses are not as attractive for young people.
- NI. Attracting older generation to the village who may not invest as much.
- I. Mainly for the elderly
- VI. For our older folk
- NI. Our village has no buses for the elderly who no longer drive.
- NI. Elderly - Two hills one on each side of the village

- I. The addition of more bungalows will allow the ageing population of the village to move to more appropriate accommodation without leaving the village whilst making available the larger 3 or 4 bed houses to new families
- I. Good for the elderly and disabled.
- I. To release some larger properties
- I. Useful for a range of age groups
- VI. Particularly important for those with disabilities or just older
- I. For old people who can stay in their community and free up a house for young families.
  
- I. Elderly people/disabled people
- VI. For existing villagers to move into so they can stay in the area
- NI Utilise land better
- VI. Not everyone can climb stairs
- VI Ideal for ageing local community
- VI. For pensioners to move into but still stay in the village which will free up their houses for families
- VI Provide a diverse and inclusive neighbourhood – i.e. encourage older and disabled people to move into area
- I. Not the most efficient use of space but the most desirable retirement housing by far and we have an ageing population.
- VI. The elderly or those with mobility issues need to have opportunities to stay close to their community and free up homes for families or youngsters. However how do you ensure that some have a priority for people with community links like Beech Tree Close -> some percentage social side like warden monitored.
- VI. For disabled and elderly who cannot cope but can live fairly independently
- VI. A mix of accommodation is important. Bungalows better suit needs of people with mobility issues
- NI Not really practical unless disabled.
- NI. Flats – houses – first time bungalows
  
- NI Milton Keynes is a working town, bungalows although would benefit some, would not be a necessity in a new development as the majority of occupants in a new development would be working families.
- I More suitable for people downsizing
- NI except for disabled people – otherwise a greedy choice of housing taking up more ground area for less accommodation.
- I. In keeping with the village
- NI (quotes his answer 2: What does the village want to be. Attractive to young and modern, old and traditional or family. With this comes the sort of property and look).
- VI Accommodation for senior generation
- NI Poor use of available land
- I. Would suit older people. However this is an expensive use of land. They must have a reasonable garden the same size as existing houses
- DK. Bungalows would be nice if there was adequate spare land but are they really a practical solution
- VI. With care wardens for the elderly
- I Needed for disabled
- I bought here to live in a village
  
- NI I'm afraid these are simply a waste of building land when there are so many other needs.
- VI For people who have slight disabilities and prefer to have everything on one floor and for the older generation, friendships made.
- I Gives elderly residents opportunity to downsize.
- VI For the elderly and disabled people.
- VI Disabled and elderly.
- I Suitable housing for elderly.
- I Very good for the elderly and those with mobility problems.
- VI Good for the older generation and disabled.



- VI Better for the elderly.
  - NI Take more land space.
  - I Ease of access for older people.
- 
- I Older generation may wish to move but wish to stay in the locality
  - VI Again for older people
  - I No-one seems to build bungalows any more suitable for disable/downsizing
  - I To enable the ageing residents of the village to still live in the same community
  - I I feel it is important to keep the current community feel of the village and this is best served by encouraging families rather than single people
  - I Need housing for the older community that already live here
  - I We should provide across the range if at all to represent and cater for all of society
  - VI For local older residents wishing to downsize but stay in the village
  - NI No need to construct new bungalows. Alternative is to convert the two storey council homes into flats
  - VI There is a national lack of new build bungalows, meaning a short for elderly or infirm
  - I A small number would also be useful for small family, single parents, first time buyers or rental. Older people to downsize. A mixture of own or rent.
  - VI For older residents wanting to stay within the village but cannot manage stairs because of frailty. Also for anyone with disabilities within a family
  - NI Not a good use of land.
  - DK Is Haversham attractive to a retirement community?
  - NI I think for the size of footprint then a house would be preferable to a bungalow
  - VI Homes for elderly important
  - I High proportion of disabled individuals within the broader MK population, along with ageing population
  - I A few only! Elderly people do like them
- 
- VI Better access for elderly or infirm.
  - NI Not needed in current market, purchased more by older buyers.
  - I Older generation accommodation.
  - I For senior citizens, disabled people.
  - I My relatives may decide to move to the area when they retire.
  - I Very nice but a ground floor flat, if built well, might suffice.
  - I Provides suitable accommodation for traditional retired couples and are also in keeping with current housing.
  - NI Elderly tend to go for better communal facilities. We have some already.
  - I Retired couples in keeping with existing housing.
  - NI The services are not available for support, some larger ones for those who are mobile would be appropriate.
  - I So older residents may downsize.
  - NI Amenities are not readily accessible.
  - VI There are a lot of older people coming into the community.
  - I A few for older people to move into if they wish.
  - VI Great, it could help older people to downsize and let younger people to buy their home but again price would be involved and size, builders seem to think that old people only want one room plus a bedroom and bathroom but we do like to have family over to spend time with not in a cramped space.
  - I For family home owners to downsize.
  - I Good for those older or with disabilities who cannot manage stairs.
- 
- I downsizing for elders
  - VI for elderly/additional needs
  - I allows elderly housing, stay in village and downsize
  - VI People tend to stay a long time in Haversham, it is nice to have the range of accommodation so that the elderly can still stay and manage in a home of their own when a house is too much to manage.
  - VI Important for older or people with mobility problems

- I Again for elderly of the village who may be happy to 'free up' their house
- I older people
- I all housing is important
- I Allows older residents to continue to stay in Haversham where larger houses with stairs become difficult to manage
- VI Older people who have difficulty in climbing stairs need somewhere to downsize in the parish, otherwise they would be forced to move to a different location.
- VI There is a national shortage. Necessary for many old people.
- I There currently aren't enough.
  
- VI Many old and disabled residents in large houses with large gardens
- VI Many elderly folk find stairs difficult
- VI Lot of elderly people in Haversham and very few bungalows to accommodate them
- NI Waste of space when you could build houses
- NI Do not offer type of housing required by most
- I For elderly hoping to remain in location but requiring easier living conditions
- I Good for the elderly
- I Possibility of downsizing depending on prices
- VI To allow older residents to be able to downsize (if required)
- I Range of housing needed
- NI A waste of land as footprint bigger
- DK Unsure. Bungalows take up more space per resident but could be more helpful for older people. I think a small percentage of bungalows could be acceptable but younger people need houses. Bungalows take up more land
- I For older people living in the area – so they can stay in their community and free up their larger property for a family
- VI Many older people in the area may like to stay here while moving somewhere more accessible
  
- I for elderly and less able residents
- VI for those who can no longer cope with stairs
- VI We have a high percentage of people who have lived in the village a long time and wish to stay; this would free up larger, older houses for families
- VI We have a high percentage of people who have lived in the village a long time and wish to stay; this would free up larger, older houses for families
- I to enlarge on ease of access for disabled/old people.
- NI tend to be lived in by the older population and we don't have the type of facilities they tend to use i.e. bus service, doctors, community centre.
- I could introduce diversity of buildings and support aging population.
- I could introduce diversity of buildings and support aging population.
- VI For older people downsizing and people with mobility problems.
- VI Suitable for elderly or disabled. Developers won't build, not enough return.
- I For older people, fit in with village area.
- I 3/4 bed houses could be sold to families. They may appeal to the older generation.
- NI Too land hungry.
- NI The footprint of a bungalow is the same as a house and therefore a waste of space.
- I To allow older and disabled residents to live locally. Insufficient stock currently.
- NI Not so much 'not important' as less of a priority.
- NI Don't think this is a good use of land.
- VI Provision for elderly couples.
- I Some older residents may want to downsize in future, and not necessarily move out of village.
- I A lot of elderly people that are living in large houses would downsize to a bungalow + people with a disability.
- I Bungalows are very nice looking buildings that offer good accommodation for the elderly and people who simply want to live in a property with no stairs.
- I Important that elderly people can remain in the village as they age - downsizing to release houses for younger families but remaining in the community.
- VI Offer the chance for residents with two storey homes the opportunity to move to one storey and stay in the village.

- NI Excessive use of land for one property. Some needed for mobility impaired people.
- I Good for people who find stairs tricky. Not many in MK.
- I Their size means that they blend into the countryside better. Good for elderly people to stay in the community whilst downsizing.

### Question 4d (2/3 bedroom houses)

- I: Families
- I: Families
- I: Downsizing and young families
- NI: Already a lot of 2/3 bed houses
- DK: A mixture of b, c, d, e & f would include a variety of properties and a variety of residences which would lead to a diverse population more able to integrate into village
- I: In keeping with the village
- I: General mix – maybe
- NI: No to expansion
- NI: We have enough
- NI: Already have such buildings for families
- I: For young people
- I: Required for family homes
- I: Small family homes
- I: For families
- I: For growing families
  
- VI To consider young families
- I To give younger people the opportunity to stay in Haversham
- I For people who would like to stay in the same village that they were born and brought up in.
- NI Enough already
- NI Enough already
- VI Lack of these available
- VI Extremely important for growing families that need value and affordable rent!
- I Mix of housing stock
- I Can assist people moving on housing ladder
  
- VI Mainly affordable housing
- I more for the younger generation to be able to afford living in the area they've been brought up in.
- VI Suitable for most families.
- VI To encourage young families to the village.
- I The main category of housing.
- I Families to enhance community.
- VI Greater emphasis needs to be put on roads allowing the number of cars that come with these homes i.e. larger driveways for 2 plus cars.
- I This size of housing will accommodate most families.
- VI Family homes, in keeping with the area.
- I For young families.
- NI I do not agree with any further housing.
- NI Already in existence.
- NI Already in existence.
  
- I Required by small families
- NI We have enough three bedroom houses and 2 bedroom houses are covered in question 'b'
- I Most likely to accommodate young couples/families
- I Standard accommodation for family makers
- I Needed for young people with families
- I Families create ongoing communities. Access to fresh air and rural healthy living
- I Families – enable families to have access to a rural lifestyle for healthy/outdoor children!
- I Encourage families into the area

- VI Attract young families and children. Good school and recreation ground in upper village
- I Families
- I Affordable
- VI Most suitable size housing
- I For growing families
  
- NI - We don't need them.
- I – Just the right size for a village.
- VI – To enable younger people to stay in the area after starting families.
- VI – Most families may be able to afford.
- VI – Families.
- VI – In line with the rest of the village and estate.
- I – To encourage more families into the village.
- I – For young families who could add a lot to the local community.
- I - It would be great to see more family homes.
  
- VI – Encourages young families to join the community, as they have space to grow
- VI – families
- VI – young families
- VI – good for families & in keeping with area
- NI – we have a large enough stock of 3 bed houses. Indeed the vast majority of houses in Haversham are 3 bed semis. Some need for 2 beds though
- I – encourage families
- I – an important stepping stone on the property ladder may encourage young families to the village & help with village regeneration
- I – could offer opportunities to young people who want to stay in Haversham. Families would welcome new housing but type & cost would have to be appropriate
- I – first time buyers, downsizing, growing families, social mix
- NI – other house sizes are more important
- VI – younger families
- VI – younger families
  
- I – most suitable for area
- I – mx of sizes
- VI – area is key & popular with young families – important for future of village
- VI – in keeping with current properties
- VI – this would be functional given the demographic of the village
- I – family homes for local young people wishing to stay within the local community
- DK – lots of 3 beds available in the village – I understand not for sale – do we have more of these? Prefer 3 bed to building 2 bed but lack of garden space / parking etc. and things could look messy. Torn on this one
- I – helps people move up the housing ladder as their families expands
- I – families
- I – room for small family
- NI – too big for singles, not big enough for families
- NI – don't want the village engulfed in a new housing estate
  
- VI – To accommodate families
- NI – There is enough available, though not necessarily here, and it's expensive
- I – For families
- I – Need a mix of housing
- VI – To encourage younger families in the village
- VI – Affordability in-keeping with the current properties and these are suited to the location/village
- VI – Ideal for families. A lot of families in Haversham
- VI – More affluent families moving to area
- I – Young families
- I – Families

- I – Help families
- I – Families moving in
- I – I am all for expansion, this would have to be backed up with facilities though
  
- I – For families/growing families
- NI – Already sufficient provision
- I – Great for family groups
- VI – Probably the most popular house but not to the exclusion of 4a. b. or c
- I – Families. In keeping with size of current housing
- I – Variety of housing needed
- I – to give growing local families a chance of staying local
- I – local young families
- I – family homes
- I - family culture is important.
- I – Great for families who bring new life to a community.
  
- I. Young family homes – potentially brings in new young families to the village
- I. Attracts families/first/second layers
- I. For growing families
- Young families
- VI. For younger people to be allowed to stay in their own villages
- VI. The addition of three bed homes allow young couples and families to join the village community which will hopefully in turn get a growing generation involved in parish matters. This size home is more financially achievable to a wider demographic than the larger homes.
- VI. People who buy these may stay longer in the community.
- I. So they are affordable and not large detached as I have seen on a lot of sites around MK
- VI. Homes for families.
- I. Not as important because many 3 bedroom homes could be freed up if single person dwellers of larger homes down sized.
  
- VI. Young Families
- NI. Enough already
- VI. Higher proportion need or can afford
- For local families
- VI. To encourage families into the village
- I. Good sized flexible housing.
- I. For families – some eco houses in a small settlement e.g. near trout fishery or top of Brookfield might address dynamic. Possibly windmill with housing inside – small scale enclaves not mass housing in keeping.
- I. For families
- I. We need a mix of accommodation to allow a mix of singles, families, old and young. We already have quite a lot of 3 bedroom houses. But as the community grows we need to keep a balance
- VI. Large enough for family
- VI. Most needed
  
- I. Demand is highest for this type of housing based on the income/job status and socioeconomic status of the existing community.
- I. Suitable for families
- I. In keeping with the village
- I. Family based properties
- I. Larger families
- VI Family Homes
- I. Similar to current housing stock \ could be made to fit the village character
- This fits a variety of needs and is suitable for new families encouraging the existing family feel of the village. They must have a garden similar to existing houses
- I. There is a need to encourage young families into the village to get involved into the community and increase a community spirit.
- VI. Growing families

- VI Growing families for executive class
- I bought here to live in a village
- I This will help maintain the 'character' of the Haversham estate, providing smaller family houses in line with the existing property 'portfolio'.
- I For growing families who are part of the community and would like the opportunity to stay.
- I Young families.
- NA None
- NA for families that need more space/bedrooms.
- NI We already have mostly 3 bed properties.
- VI Average home size - always wanted.
- I Variety of housing.
- I Most families need at least a 3 bed house.
- DK I think we already have more 3 beds than smaller or larger properties.
- I For families but much better if built in the city.
- VI Requirements for most people.
- VI The size most families need.
- NI Plenty available already
- I Suitable for families
- I I feel it is important to keep the current community feel of the village and this is best served by encouraging families rather than single people
- VI To encourage families
- I We should provide across the range if at all to represent and cater for all of society
- NI Not needed
- NI There is no housing shortage. There are vast amounts of 2-3 bedroom Victorian houses vacant in the North of England and East Midlands
- I Families
- I A small number for rent or own would be useful for people wishing to move into a village
- I For growing families
- I Families are important to Haversham
- VI Family friendly village with good school facilities
- I Good to have a full range of options
- I All type of housing should be available
- VI We need a good mix of housing
- I For starter homes for families.
- NI It is better to get the younger generation in housing.
- I Typical for young families which should be encouraged to join village life.
- VI Helps get young families back and in so doing, generates children for school and support for local facilities.
- I Encourage families.
- I Encourage families who are also mobile.
- VI Family community.
- I A few for first time buyers, but we must consider all the extra traffic this would make. Haversham has to take all the heavy traffic from all the other village's developments.
- VI This would be great for the growing family.
- I Important for families.
- VI Affordable for those who wish to live here in houses but can't afford some of the larger ones.
- I young families
- I style of houses in village
- VI to encourage families
- I there are a lot of these available but they are very expensive
- NI 2 beds are already covered earlier. The majority of housing is already 3 bed and with a policy of 'freeing up' existing stock, then this will go some way to meet demand.

- I all housing is important. 2/3 bed houses are great for younger families wanting to start village life.
- VI Consistency! Most homes in Haversham are 3 beds.
- I Important only if they are affordable. Too many people are becoming homeless because of the lack of affordable rents.
- VI Family requirement.
- I Small family homes.
  
- I Giving a wide and varied accommodation
- I Need to encourage new young families
- I Again – bring in young families
- I Great for young families
- VI Good for families
- VI For families with children to be able to move into the area
- I If we must accept the necessity of housing development then let it be for younger people/first time buyers who are usually overlooked
- VI Range of housing needed
- I A good mix of housing for all
- I Some. It is getting the balance right. Young people have families. We should try and cater for different needs: young, middle aged, older retirees, but what are the needs?
- NI I believe the area has a more plentiful supply of three bedroom property – BUT we need more smaller properties for both young and older people
- VI We need to encourage young families
  
- I for families.
- I for larger families.
- NI there are already a good number of these.
- NI there are already a good number of these.
- I family type accommodation.
- I to attract working families to the area.
- I to attract working families to the area.
- VI For medium size family.
- VI To house families. Make them affordable.
- I A mix would be required.
- NI In a village there are few facilities for the young. Parents become taxi drivers, increase in traffic etc.
- I To allow sustainable community development by enabling young families to live locally.
- VI Affordable for couples/young families.
- VI Attract new young families to villages. Also affordable.
- VI Young families will want these in order to move into village and take advantage of the outstanding school.
- VI For young families making a step up from a flat or 1/2 bed property.
- I Families with children require the extra rooms to accommodate to their specific requirements.
- I Village life is suited to young families who can use the good school and settle here and perhaps have the energy to contribute to village life and building a strong community.
- VI Reflects existing nature of village.
- I Accommodating growing families.
- I Good size an affordable for young families who want to settle.

#### Question 4e (4+ bedroom houses)

- NI: Not a big demand
- NI: Possibly enough in the area
- I: For those who wish to stay but would like a bigger property
- DK: A mixture of b, c, d, e & f would include a variety of properties and a variety of residences which would lead to a diverse population more able to integrate into village
- I: In keeping with the village
- I: Yes – suitable in small numbers

- NI: No to expansion
- NI: We have enough
- NI: I think enough big houses around Milton Keynes
- NI: Some required for larger families wishing to live in a lovely rural setting
- NI: Already large proportion of property available – not where need is
  
- NI Keep building sizes reasonable
- NI A number exist of this type and would naturally change hands from time to time
- NI Perhaps would be out of the people's price bracket
- NI Enough already
- NI Enough already
- NI Already a lot in Haversham existing
- VI Extremely important! There are large families and they are just not catered for. Need more large house!
- I Mix of housing stock
- I Again another option for people moving up the housing ladder as family expands
  
- NI A need to restrict plot sizes
- I To stop larger families moving out of the area to bigger homes.
- NI Doesn't accommodate majority of people.
- I We do have a number of houses of this size so not as important as smaller, less expensive houses.
- I The village would then have a mix of housing types.
- I Again for families and for the next generation to grow up in a lovely village.
- I Greater emphasis needs to be put on roads allowing the number of cars that come with these homes i.e. larger driveways for 2 plus cars.
- NI Most people are not able to afford this size of house or need one.
- VI Demand for these types of house in this area.
- I If you have a bigger family.
- NI I do not agree with any further housing.
- NI I do not agree with any further housing.
- NI Already in existence.
- NI Already in existence.
  
- I Required by larger families
- NI They are not necessary – these houses are adaptable enough to make into 4 beds if needed
- NI Don't want 'executive homes'
- NI Don't care about people who can afford 4 bedroom houses
- NI Would take too much land and would serve a small number of people
- I Upward mobility of achieving families who will hopefully contribute to their community
- I Bring wealth and achieving families into the area and hopefully encourage their input into the community
- I Encourage families into the area
- I Bigger houses for larger families (housing their relatives too). Provide variety so reduce rows of similar houses
- I Mix of residences for balanced communities
- I Keep character of village
- NI Take up more land than smaller accommodation. Families smaller than previous years
- I For families
  
- NI - We don't need them.
- NI – We do not need large 4 bedroom houses in a village location.
- I – To enable the possibility of large families 'upsizing' without leaving the area.
- NI – Extravagant / not affordable.
- VI – Families.
- VI – Needed for big families and keeping in line with the rest of the village.



- VI – There is a limited number of 4+ bed houses in Haversham. With a growing family we will need somewhere bigger in the next year or so – however we will likely have to leave Haversham as none available.
- I – For families.
- VI - The community is built up of larger houses and it makes sense for this to continue – organic growth.
- I - Encourages young families to join the community, as they have space to grow
- NI – village does not require larger properties, avoid multi rented out rooms / houses
- VI – not enough – good for families
- I – to encourage larger families into the village
- NI – not right for the estate, maybe old Haversham as larger houses already there
- NI – there seem to be a reasonable number of larger properties particularly in old village & little Linford. There may be a need for some of this size in the top village
- NI – plenty of these already. They are mostly unaffordable & create enclaves & insular living
- VI – growing families, round mix ??
- NI – other house sizes are more important
- NI – no thank you
- I- provide variety
- I – mix of sizes
- NI – plenty of options in existing village
- NI – this is too large for reasonable construction en mass in the village
- NI – executive homes won't enhance local area
- DK – torn on this one – we do have an abundance of 3 bed house in the village. Some are / have been extended. I appreciate families are large in size now & 4 bed would be desirable
- I – helps people move up the housing ladder as their family expands
- NI – generally an overkill
- I – for growing families
- NI – don't want the village engulfed in a new housing estate
- I – As long as they have gardens
- VI – To accommodate families
- NI – Unpleasant tendency to foster this by developers creates posh ghettos
- VI – For families
- I – Need a mix of housing
- NI – Unaffordable to many
- NI – Drives unaffordable housing
- NI – Not a demand for them
- I – Mix of larger families moving up
- VI – Mature families
- I – Families
- I – Help families
- I – Multi-occupancy
- VI – Why not? Families are growing and so are incomes, the area deserves some nicer housing
- NI – There are lots of expensive houses around here already
- NI – Growing trend for smaller families means that they are not needed
- I – Only as affordable housing - not high market housing
- NI – Tend to be larger homes, you will get more two or three bed homes in the same area
- NI – Not in keeping with current housing stock. Inappropriate for the nature of Haversham overall.
- I – Variety of housing needed
- NI – Local community benefit low
- I – Larger homes for more permanent families
- I – Could uplift value of the parish providing homes with space (assuming parking etc. is considered at the same time)
- I – Great for families who bring new life to a community

- VI. Villagers who wish to stay in village currently have no where to move to if they wish to expand
- I. To allow residents to move 'up' and stay in the village
- NI. Unaffordable
- NI. Too many of these in MK
- NI. Do we need 4/5 beds?
- I. Although I would say that these should be included I feel the benefits of the 2 and 3 bedroom homes is greater and more achievable to the average working person and therefore helping the current younger generation of the village one day return to start their own family.
- VI. People who buy these may stay longer in the community.
- NI. Too expensive
- I. "Executive" housing does not address the shortage of affordable housing problem.
- NI. There are quite a percentage of large homes already
- NI Enough already
- NI. Out of price range for many
- NI. Encourages commuters who have no interest in village life
- NI These houses would be unaffordable to many
- NI. Frankly large housing being readily available encourages people to have more than 1 or 2 children which is not good for society given increase in population.
- DK. Not sure about creating massive new houses or whether you free up existing larger houses with other options. There could be a small number of larger houses – in keeping in old village e.g. opposite old post office – between church and schoolhouse, Little Linford round back.
- I. For families
- I We need to maintain a mix of families, singles, ages, to have a truly vibrant and balanced community.
- VI People can stay in that type when children grow up
- NI They are retired so they can do what they want.
- VI. Needed to keep families together – i.e. grandparents
- I. To establish suitable quantity of larger housing will cater for families wanting to move into the area. It will also encourage a community of diverse professions, benefitting the community.
- I. Family based properties
- NI. Stop becoming overpriced in other types
- I. This allows for larger families. They must have a garden size similar to existing homes
- NI. There are enough already built and still enough smaller houses with room to extend
- NI. There are few large families – except among muslims
- I bought here to live in a village
- NI These are moving away from the character of the village (unless semi-detached in nature).
- I Families
- NA None
- VI For families that need more space/bedrooms.
- NA This could reduce the amount of extensions, however I suspect you'd get rich developers lots so I'm not sure I'd welcome this.
- VI Large families and multiple generations sharing.
- NI We need some but inevitably they will be more expensive than many can afford.
- I To cater for larger families.
- NI Do we need 4,5,6 beds plus?
- NI Less requirement.
- NI Does not cover enough of the average population to be important
- I I feel it is important to keep the current community feel of the village and this is best served by encouraging families rather than single people
- I Family housing
- I We should provide across the range if at all to represent and cater for all of society
- NI Not needed
- NI Really simple – just build and extension to a home when necessary to do so

- NI Not important to us
- NI This type of house, unless built in character would look out of place
- I For larger families
- NI Housing shortage needs to pass on maximised use of available land
- I Need to have options for families and make Haversham a village that is suitable for people at all stages of life
- I All types of housing should be available
- I We need new housing for all – especially families
  
- NI These can be purchased anywhere if you can afford them.
- VI We currently have 4 bedrooms and if we decided to move again in the future we'd still want at least 4.
- NI If these was to be a 'churn' we may have enough.
- VI Traditionally suitable for established families.
- I Many already but do need some.
- I Encourage families.
- VI Families would be encouraged but they would have their own transport and school is local.
- NI Can be very intrusive.
- NI Do we really need 4+ bedroom homes; they are being built everywhere. The bigger the house can mean 3+ more cars onto our village streets.
- NI Unless you have the land to go with the house we would say no.
- I More for families.
- NI There is adequate supply of larger houses. I do not wish to see additional expensive houses priced out of the reach of many people.
  
- I families
- NI too many would make for a 2<sup>nd</sup> 'Old Haversham'
- NI not really necessary in my opinion
- NI not as necessary as houses with fewer rooms
- NI most large 'executive' houses are already being made in other parts of MK as developers prefer these due to the larger profits involved.
- I Helps improve property values.
- VI To stop residents buying houses and putting on extensions that visually do not match up to houses in the street. They are an eyesore!
- NI People should take responsibility for the over population problem we have and conceive less children.
- I Family can be larger.
- VI Family homes.
  
- NI There are family sized homes already occupied by singles/couples
- NI Too expensive for locals, it would make Haversham a commuter village and generally they don't join in with village life
- NI Local people wouldn't be able to afford these properties
- I Range of housing needed
- I We need new housing for families
- NI I think large homes should be limited or excluded altogether
- NI There is already a supply of this property and therefore I believe this type of housing should be excluded from the Neighbourhood plan
- NI If you can afford a four bedroom property you already have choice
  
- DK not sure if there is demand but could be suitable for multi-generations.
- I I'm not really sure about this, but it would increase the variety of housing stock.
- I I'm not really sure about this, but it would increase the variety of housing stock.
- VI to attract families with workers that commute to MK and London and use public transport.
- VI to attract families with workers that commute to MK and London and use public transport.
- VI Better designed than social housing, less house on the land.
- VI Established financially and employ people as housekeepers, gardeners, handyman etc. Still likely to be taxi driver for young though!

- NI Sufficient stock already.
- NI Don't wish to see larger houses with extensive grounds, outside the financial reach of most people.
- I Will free up 2/3 bedroom houses as families grow and want to stay in village, especially for school.
- I May need more 4+ beds to attract families to village.
- NI Parking in the upper village at times is very difficult. Larger houses will require off street parking, if multi-occupied.
- VI If we all keep moving - more houses available.
- I Families with children require the extra rooms to accommodate to their specific requirements. Depends on their family and financial standing.
- I Village life is suited to young families who can use the good school and settle here and perhaps have the energy to contribute to village life and building a strong community.
- NI Less important rather than not important. Fewer of these houses currently.
- I Accommodating growing families.
- I Need to ensure no bigger than 2 storeys as otherwise would not fit into the areas in subtle manner. Good for families.

#### Question 4f (specialist housing for older retired people)

- VI: Enable people to live independently for longer
  - VI: Age
  - NI: Quite a few in MK already
  - DK: A mixture of b, c, d, e & f would include a variety of properties and a variety of residences which would lead to a diverse population more able to integrate into village
  - NI: Not really in keeping with the village
  - NI: No amenities
  - NI: No to expansion – MK have retirement villages
  - VI: For elderly to stay in their community
  - I: In keeping with current environment
  - VI: There's not enough places
  - NI: I do not believe we have the infrastructure for this style of accommodation
  - NI: Not sustainable due to lack of services
  - VI: We are all living longer, but many lose their spouse. Community living would help many in this category
- 
- I Rising number of retired/elderly people
  - I To provide a means of staying in Haversham
  - VI To help them stay where they have settled and made friends and not want to leave Haversham
  - I Elderly people are an important part of any community and should be given the opportunity to remain in area if wish
  - I Lets old people continue to live in their community.
  - NI Make bungalows and if they're not independent it's special living then care home.
  - NI Not sustainable as very little public transport or local services
  - VI Much needed to allow older Haversham residents to downsize and have specialist accommodation
  - I To ensure people do not have to leave the area as they get older but this would have to be supported with better facilities.
- 
- NI Various sites in MK cover this type of housing
  - NI Too isolated if don't or can't drive.
  - I Not enough that is accessible.
  - NI Public transport is not good and few amenities available for older people e.g. shops and medical centres.
  - I Such people would not have to leave the area in which they have spent time.
  - NI Should be sufficient provision in the general housing mix.
  - DK Depends on what sort of housing.

- VI We all get old eventually and need help! ☺.
  - I We are all living longer – we need to look at ways of encouraging our ageing population to think about shared accommodation – better for mental health & combatting loneliness.
  - I So they can continue to live in villages (if not in their own homes).
  - NI Requires larger building.
  - NI I do not agree with any further housing.
  - NI I do not agree with any further housing.
- 
- VI Required by disabled or senior citizens with restricted lifestyles
  - I Fits village model
  - I It has quite a large elderly population – it would be nice for them to be able to stay here when they can no longer stay in their own homes
  - I So that older people can move out of 3 bed semis and still stay in the village
  - VI Same as for bungalows (a few bungalows for older people who will struggle with stairs) but also with specialist handrails and stuff
  - I It might help older people stay where they've always lived and want to remain, to be close to family and friends
  - I Bring ageing communities together with local population and schools
  - I Look after and future proofing the needs of the ageing population. Bring facilities into the community
  - I Enable elderly to remain in the area to be near their family – not village (lower Haversham) – again no transport or facilities
  - VI Important to have properties for older people but lack of transport critical as none in lower village
  - I Why not
  - I Mixture
  - VI Sense of community. Allows release of housing for next generation
  - I Elderly residents benefit from this type of housing
- 
- I - Because the government is abusing the elderly!!!
  - VI – As I said before, older people like to be independent.
  - I – All are important, planning for and considering all options is essential.
  - I – It's important to have a variety of houses in order to attract people to the area.
  - VI – To enable people who have lived in the area to remain in the locality / community.
  - VI – Older people need support and specialist care.
  - VI – More older people now!
  - VI – Nation has a massive elderly population it's needed for them.
  - VI – All housing is important but downsizing older people frees up more housing for young families.
  - NI – I have family who have lived in these types of developments and they do not actually benefit the residents or their family's long term. The developers are ruthless and it ends up being very expensive.
  - NI – Whilst I think bungalows are important, the healthcare facilities are currently quite poor, so it would make sense for specialist homes to be closer to existing surgeries.
- 
- I – Allows a community to develop which might not have
  - VI – so that they can stay in their own environment
  - VI – allow older generation to stay in the area
  - I – plenty of elderly residents in Haversham
  - DK – not sure there is specific need
  - DK – is there a need, as no shop in the village
  - VI – there is a lack of housing that is suitable for the elderly
  - I – to allow for older residents to downsize & yet remain in the village. Consider wardened accommodation
  - NI - . Why would elderly people want to live in Haversham when there is no shop, no bus, no doctors & very little support. Same as for bungalows unless there is some wardened accommodation the same principles apply

- VI – elderly population growing, not enough suitable housing, shouldn't be isolated from rest of community
- VI – there is a massive UK shortage
- VI – same as bungalows, aging population & people with disabilities, mobility issues, dementia etc.
- VI – same as bungalows, aging population
- I – for reasons stated above
  
- VI – there is a lack of housing that is suitable for the elderly
- NI – not suitable for location & amenities
- VI – to enable existing residents to stay in the area
- I - largely missing in Haversham, would allow current residents opportunity to move while staying in the area
- I – people staying in the community
- VI – this would be appropriate & in keeping with the current & prospective future demographic of the village
- VI - aging local community
- VI – not just for older retired people. Any village resident may need this type off accommodation regardless of age
- I – if downsizing it would good to stay in the village / estate
- I – to ensure people can remain in the area as they get older. However more investment in the infrastructure would be required
- I – I'm old
- NI – there are plenty of retirement villages in the country
- I – so that the older villagers can move on and sell their no longer needed larger homes to young families who need the space, but still remain in the vicinity
  
- I – Again! Poor transport makes this not ideal
- VI – Need housing for the elderly and those that need assistance with living
- NI – Unpleasant tendency to foster this by developers creates posh ghettos
- NI – Very expensive for the home owner
- I – keeping people in the village
- I – As a community we need to be prepared to help the older generation
- VI – There is an aging population in the village; efforts need to be made to keep residents in the village
- VI – Primarily older people in Haversham, enables families to stay together
- I – For the elderly
- VI – Diversity, age, disability but mixed into general housing not ghettos
- VI – Gives the older generation a chance to stay when their family and memories are.
  
- VI – For mixed population.
- I – The care system is swamped, more public facilities not necessarily private.
- VI – Warden control/sheltered accommodation would assist the ageing population
- NI – Tend to be larger homes, you will get more two or three bed homes in the same area
- VI – Older population increases
- VI – Variety of provision needed especially for ageing population
- I – Requirement for the area, a lot of people locally lived here for long periods and are looking to stay.
- VI – To keep older residents in the local area
- DK – New dwellings should be designed for adaptability in accommodating the elderly/medical/disability etc. needs of the specific person.
- VI – Keeps the residents within the parish and frees up housing for other people
  
- NI – catered for in other parts of MK
- NI. This is being developed in Stony Stratford.
- VI. To meet growing need.
- VI. Growth in elderly population, many requiring support

- VI. WE need t look after our old folk.
- NI. Bungalows in a good community should be enough.
- VI. Releases houses for young families
- I. As our communities has a section of retired people.
- NI. Bungalows which can be adapted more useful
- I. Enables older retired people to downsize and free up bigger houses.
- VI. For old people who can stay in their community and free up a house for young families.
  
- VI. With an ageing population some sheltered housing should be provided in every community to allow the elderly to stay where they have lived and have friends and family
- VI. For existing villagers to move into so they can stay in the area
- VI. So they shouldn't be forced to move out of the village
- VI. To enable older people to stay in their local area
- VI. I'll need one soon
- VI Sheltered bungalows would be favourable to some residents
- VI. Ageing population
- VI. Haversham and Little Linford tend to have families who stay in or around the villages and see comment 4C above {4c above repeated: VI. The elderly or those with mobility issues need to have opportunities to stay close to their communities and free up homes for families or youngsters. However how do you ensure that some have a priority for people with community links like Beech Tree Close -> some percentage social side like warden monitored} – bungalows sheltered housing could be somewhere like behind the Crescent where there can walk to bus stop/access pub, church, social centre
- VI. To enable them to continue living independently without leaving the area, which they are familiar with
- NI. They are retired so they can do what they want.
- I. So they can be independent
  
- NI. Although there is an ageing population and consideration must be taken for this community to retire in MK there is suitable accommodation of this facility
- NI (quotes answer 2: What does the village want to be. Attractive to young and modern, old and traditional or family. With this comes the sort of property and look).
- VI. Population demographic is changing upwards
- Mix of residents
- NI. All houses should be made suitable for all people
- NI The facilities are poor for older people unless they can drive. They can choose to live in any new house.
- NI. No point without a better bus service.
- VI. We are here now – more on the way
- VI. Needed
- I bought here to live in a village
  
- VI This would hopefully prevent older members of the community having to leave Haversham/LLinford at a time when proximity to family and friends is of great importance.
- VI So they can stay in the community that they know and love, people friendship.
- VI Keeps retired people in the community.
- VI So that bigger properties can house bigger families instead of being wasted.
- I For the older community members to continue living locally.
- VI We're all living longer!
- I We all get old and older house cannot always be adapted to suit and warden assistance is often not available.
- VI If we're living longer then we need more to enable us to stay independent.
- VI Not enough being done for the elderly. We need to look after them.
- I Could release other housing.
- I Could be required for people who wish to stay in Haversham?
  
- VI Older residents need a place to go and it's nice to stay in their village

- I Might free up larger council houses. Elderly often in hospital for longer periods due to lack of housing
- I I feel it is important to keep the current community feel of the village and this is best served by encouraging families rather than single people
- I for older residents
- I We should provide across the range if at all to represent and cater for all of society
- Currently no location would fail to impact the Wolverton Road. Ideally any proposal should therefore avoid Wolverton Road
- VI As most retired people do not want to move too far. They like to keep in touch with everyone they know.
- VI Not only by looking after your own, but older retired people would have increased opportunity to downsize and free up larger homes for younger families
- I We should do more to look after the elderly / retired
- NI Retirement villages already in the Milton Keynes area
- I Because of the ageing population in general
- I Whilst important I fear Haversham is too isolated
- DK Again unsure if Haversham has the right facilities
- VI If we have special housing for the older retired it would release larger homes for families and young people
- NI I think specialist housing is probably more appropriate in areas with greater public amenities and local workforce
- I All types of housing should be available
- I As population continues to age, this type of housing will need to be increased
- VI Houses for current residents to move into when wanting to downsize
  
- VI As these are probably the people currently building in the parish as it is now.
- VI Elderly housing is very important
- I There aren't many in the area.
- NI Community facilities not currently suitable for 'specialist' housing.
- VI Very important for the elderly – so can stay in the area.
- NI No available shops etc. older retired are moving towards 'retirement villages' as time goes on. They would be built and then extended for other users as seen all over established areas of bungalows.
- I For older people of our village who may want to downsize.
- NI Amenities are not readily accessible.
- I A few for older people to move into if the wish.
- VI This is an important part of the community.
- VI Sheltered housing.
- I Important as a concept in this ageing society but I'm not sure Haversham is large enough to accommodate a sheltered housing scheme or similar.
  
- VI keeps retired in village
- VI keep our community together
- I encourages community
- I see bungalows (4c) People tend to stay a long time in Haversham, it is nice to have the range of accommodation so that the elderly can still stay and manage in a home of their own when a house is too much to manage.
- VI Young and old people could always do with more housing.
- I Might free up houses for families and keep older people closer to home and community rather than having to downsize and move away from what they know.
- VI Several elderly people have commented that they wish to stay in the village, is happy to free up their place but there is nowhere for them to move to.
- VI Allows older residents to continue to stay in Haversham where larger houses with stairs become difficult to manage
- VI Just because you are old doesn't mean you are less worthy. Opposite in fact . The older generation provide a fountain of knowledge and experience.
- I There is a shortage.



- VI Sheltered housing. Many old and disabled residents in large houses with large gardens
- VI Elderly often require retirement homes or nursing homes
- VI Lot of elderly people in Haversham and very few bungalows to accommodate them
- NI Most older people own their house and don't want to move
- I Rather than large bungalows, specialist accommodation is a much better long term solution
- I For elderly hoping to remain in location but requiring easier living conditions
- I Obvious
- VI Maybe to allow older residents to move into easier to manage accommodation
- VI For those who are already members of the community for whom a larger house is no longer a requirement and which can therefore be freed-up for younger buyers/families
- VI So many residents have to move away to live in suitable accommodation
- I Important for people that live in area to downsize in retirement
- VI So enabling local people to give up/sell their larger property (freeing it up for a family) but allowing them as retired people to stay in their community
- VI We need to keep all the population as happy as possible
  
- DK again not sure of demand
- I as above for bungalows (VI We have a high percentage of people who have lived in the village a long time and wish to stay; this would free up larger, older houses for families) but it would depend on the nature of these. I suspect bungalows would be preferable.
- I as above for bungalows (VI We have a high percentage of people who have lived in the village a long time and wish to stay; this would free up larger, older houses for families) but it would depend on the nature of these. I suspect bungalows would be preferable.
- VI needed to free up housing for others.
- VI needed to free up housing for others.
- VI Over 55 development.
- VI Lots of older people in Haversham.
- I Ageing/growing population. Particularly growing population in MK and will quickly become retirement need.
- VI As the older population grows, you need suitable homes.
- I Older people are always overlooked. They tend to look after their property.
- NI Need to be in town with facilities in walking distance. Ability to give up car but still have social contact.
- I See Q2 J17
- NI Haversham is a small settlement and so such developments would not be appropriate unless on a small scale.
- I Recognised as an important concept in society, but what sort of 'specialist housing' would be appropriate here?
- VI Again, help with downsizing and freeing up existing housing.
- VI Older residents may want to stay in the village when they downsize but not be able to afford to unless there is purpose-built housing.
- DK retirement homes in MK are many. Not required in the village.
- VI Increasing population.
- VI Any specialist housing especially going forward must be essential if we are to relieve the pressure on the NHS that is at present unable to cope with present conditions!
- NI We are close to many larger boroughs and villages that can accommodate this close by.
- I Important to have some to allow people opportunity to downsize and remain in village near family and friends.
- VI So that older people are able to stay within the community amongst people and surroundings that they are familiar with.
- I The ageing community is becoming an ever growing concern!
- NI Tend to be large, gated areas. Would mean that the infrastructure would need to be proportionately made larger. Many other areas can take elderly in (or downsize to bungalows).