

Question 6

Housing:

Should we be considering other ways of providing homes in our community? Please specify.

- Don't know
- A mixture of q5
- No
- No to expansion
- I think everyone should be able to get house
- Perhaps designate an area for community self-build to bring in a group of self-builders to work on 5-6 houses together
- Would welcome an innovative way of providing required housing, therefore keen on self-build. Could a community land trust be considered?
- Eco friendly homes/power supply.
- Do not consider blocks of flats.
- Eventually nobody should have to private rent, it's extortionate. All homes equally rented regardless of situation.
- Shared ownership, housing association accommodation would enable younger people, first time buyers to live here.
- It would appear that all aspects have been considered.
- Shared ownership is a good system as people who buy these house can buy the rest off it will be part of the community.
- Underground ?
- I do not agree with any further housing.
- I do not agree with any further housing.
- None of the above.
- None of the above.
- Warden controlled homes for those needing special care
- The type of house is less important than the number
- None – there are enough of these in MK
- Give low income people a chance
- Small starter homes for people who are struggling to survive – e.g. homeless, refugees. Plots for self-builds
- Self-build plots for groups to build themselves. Centres/bedsits to support those coming out of care or finding their feet after being on the streets/homeless/refugees
- Shared ownership and self-build
- Yes – organisations similar to housing associations
- Any large homes convert into apartments/flats if possible
- Don't know
- Use old dilapidated houses.
- No – keep new builds within MK estates – not the villages.
- No building above 2 storeys.
- Yes think about eco-homes that are built into the village landscape.
- Self-build would be an incredible option. We have a diverse property archetype already and this would help to continue this appeal.

- Covered in questions
- I don't know what you are expecting here
- Tree houses
- No
- Consider wardened accommodation, 'Alms' type houses for aging population
- No we need to build more homes
- No
- Any thought or scope for properties with 'granny annexe' attached? Some families may wish to live with parents. For some it's a way to get onto property ladder or upsize with parental help
- Do we really need all these new homes or is it a money maker for councils and builders?
- No
- Best estimate
- Buy to live in. Restrict buy to let developments so that starter houses are available.
- Shared ownership would give people on lower incomes the chance to live in a nice area
- Rather than estates of houses, consider small (3-5) clusters of housing to minimise impact on infrastructure and keep the style of the area.
- No
- Shared ownership would help more people onto the housing ladder.
- Priority for young people who grew up in the village/want to move back.
- Council Housing
- For younger couples
- Shared ownership properties are a great way for young people to get on the property ladder in order to start a family.
- Shared ownership properties cannot be rented out preventing an investor landlord situation.
- Small scale development plots Max 50 homes
- Small number of starter homes
- More council housing to re-instate the housing to 'right to buy' over the past 30 years. Social housing with fair rents.
- Providing 3 generation housing projects, as exist in some other European countries, would be a very positive step (mixed housing for the elderly and young families with communal space and facilities)
- I don't want new homes in this village
- Pre fabs
- No
- Community living projects possibly. Compulsory purchase on large or unused gardens/patches of land. Development of poor quality housing in Netherfield, Beanhill, Galley Hill, Eaglestone, Bletchley and other areas.
- Ecohomes e.g. by the trout fishery, top of Brookfield Rd.,
- Free up homes through bungalows for the elderly
- Barns of Randalls using existing buildings some of which are redundant and not farmed, barns of John Marshall's if not used
- No
- I think you have covered it
- If I wanted any of this I would have bought in urban MK not a village
- Currently a major fault with housing in the UK (and especially locally) is affordability. Everything we can do at planning stage must be aimed at addressing this issue, but also give those people who can afford to move up the ladder an opportunity to do so.

- None.
- I can't think of any not already covered in previous questions. Maybe some with an annexe to allow the owner to help care for an elderly relative.
- Turning unused offices/warehouse/industrial estates/shops into housing
- No. Land should not become available within our Parish as there are suitable sites located in nearby Milton Keynes
- Share ownership is a great way to provide affordable housing
- Conversion of redundant farm buildings to houses
- Shared ownership allows younger generation to get on the property ladder & housing associations allows families that can't afford to buy to rent.
- For Local people.
- Focus on sustainable, energy efficient housing.
- Cooperative housing / self-build for groups!
- Self-build plots.
- NA
- Please note that I would be very unhappy to see smaller affordable homes built that were then purchased for buy to let this taking them from first time buyers.
- A variety of different housing means more people will make the community more diverse.
- No caravan parks thanks!
- Keep it local with local builders rather than the 'big boys'
- No. Current facilities and services do not allow for more housing
- No
- No!
- The problem is - where does it stop? When we are building houses in our driveways? We have to tackle the root of the problem---too many people – not enough land to sustain them.
- Local financing and loans should be available on a co-operative basis perhaps.
- ?
- Make new affordable housing to be offered to local community first
- ? Not a very good question!?
- No
- Yes
- No
- No
- Yes if it enables current residents to remain in the village.
- Yes if it enables current residents to remain in the village.
- I don't believe we have space for more houses.
- How can we match home seekers with current home owners e.g. elderly to help with everyday social care? Think outside the box!
- How can we match home seekers with current home owners e.g. elderly to help with everyday social care? Think outside the box!
- Yes - use existing empty house.
- Yes - use existing empty houses.
- A lot more brown sites and renovation of buildings than using green fields.
- All suggestions helpful. A certain amount of freedom to choose for people.
- Lack of self-sustaining communities and co-operatives.
- ?

- Yes. After the 2nd World War prefabs were built. Inside they were very nice with fitted kitchen etc. With the availability of today of special building materials, one could build a large number in landscape gardens that would answer shortages and the homeless in our communities and look attractive.
- A self build is a way to get people who would like to settle in the village - perhaps give something back and build a strong community. People who were brought up here should have the opportunity to stay and rent if necessary but private landlords should be able to accommodate this.
- No.
- ? Some community retirement accommodation.
- I'm not sure but I know the traffic can be bad. I struggle to get out of the Crescent some mornings. It's got busier in the 5 years I've been here.
- Self build would not burden the council.